

Labels
By Martin Cisneros

Provided the fullness of Christ is revealed in your mortal bodies, God doesn't care in the least about our having a carnal nature per Philemon 1:16 where Paul, the aged, speaks of embracing one as both "both in the flesh, and in the Lord." God created the carnal nature. The problem is when it's usurped the place rightfully belonging to the Christ within. Otherwise, we wouldn't have Song of Solomon in the Bible that explains the whole Kingdom in much more graphic terms than Mark 4. In Mark 4, Jesus stopped at using the seed.

In Song of Solomon the whole Kingdom is explained via the marriage bed. It's a celebration of the Kingdom of God, but at the same time, it's a carefully crafted piece of GENUINE PORNOGRAPHY. Yet Jesus fulfilled Song of Solomon 5:1 after His resurrection and there's many other areas of fulfilled Bible prophecy in Song of Solomon. Yet it's a celebration of the carnal nature at it's absolute finest. Yet it celebrates life "both in the flesh, and in the Lord" as Paul, the aged, mentions briefly to the Church at Colosse in the book of Philemon. We're NOT participants in the divine nature in the absolute fullest sense until the whole man is in his righteous order and all filled with the Holy Spirit instead of being filled with the devil, darkness, licentiousness, fear, rebellion, insubordination, death, etc.

St. Paul and the Living Jesus only say to lay it aside [as a fast, even to the "cutting off point"] while it's offended by the Written Word of God, hence 2Corinthians 5:16 which many of you have as a favorite verse is thought to contradict what I've just said. However, some things are for babes, some things are written to juveniles, and some things are written to spiritual fathers in the Scriptures. And if you think all of it either harmonizes or contradicts itself, then you've not yet understood audience relevance. Not in the sense of whether something like Revelation is only for the pre-70 AD world or for the 22nd century or whatever. But in the sense of the unregenerate, spiritual baby, spiritual adolescent, and spiritual fathers that it addresses. Most of the handling of 2Corinthians 5:16 that I've seen has been a gross twisting of Scripture to try to make it say what it doesn't say, by not giving it it's context of people with the kinds of problems like Paul was addressing with the Corinthian Church, and those on similar spiritual levels.

There's tons and tons and tons of things in the Scriptures like that, to where if I "went there," it would sound so alien to most of the people who consider themselves grown in Him. Babies don't like your messing with their formula though, and insisting that we need Him, we need each other, and we desperately need our fathers in the faith as St. Paul, St. Peter, and St. John insisted upon. God is as interested in human seed as He is in the divine seed and without both it's Scripturally an unjust balance and usually winds up being people trying to build their own kingdoms while insisting they're opposed to every Kingdom but His. And sometimes our "fathers in the faith" as 1Corinthians 4, 1Corinthians 11, 2Timothy 2:1, 1John 2:12-14, et. al., are going to wind up being a "label" more so than human beings that are contemporaries that are praying our sorry butts out of jams where we had cast away our fearless confidence and have let promises slip, or are hearers of the Written Word and not doers thereof.

I regard Elhanan Winchester, from the 1700s, as much my spiritual father as Kenneth Copeland. Yet, if I were here 500 years from now instead of here today, it would be equally as valid to rely on their materials and their prayers then as now, if God was really knitting me to the grace that was on their lives. As they without us cannot be made perfect, per Hebrews 11 -- we without them cannot be made perfect, as it says in Hebrews 6 when it says to be imitators of those who through faith and patience inherit the promises. Sometimes, until God knits you to that key relationship in this world that'll be

your Moses before God (i.e. before God rather than in place of God, i.e. their fasting, prayers, and relationship) and your lover in the Song of Solomon sense who metaphorically is as much a lover of your soul as of the truth, then it's liable to be a "label" as much as an anointing or Spirit that He's making your primary. But even in the case where it's "no father but God," that's still a "label" and as much a living reality as those who are following God's Biblical path of [sensei](#) and pupil. All of life is things labeled and things to be labeled when they've proceeded from the Father, so that "what it's called, it IS."

Don't curse the human seed and human part of the equation, or how will your seed be even remotely blessed? You can't have it both ways -- everybody else is cursed, but mine's blessed 'cause I alone of all of the believers of the earth, yada, yada, or trying to hide behind the carnal refuges of God knows my heart; knows what I meant, etc. Faith, Hope, and Love are what it's all about: Faith corresponding to the mother of us all, i.e. New Jerusalem; Love being the father of us all, since God is love and love is also the full grown man in Him; and Hope being the children of us all; our futures and our legacy. Hope requires humanity and labels, otherwise, it's aborted and neither faith nor love are fulfilled and we all die in the wilderness under God's curse for having cursed the human side of our legacy.

Labels are used to distinguish truth from error in the most loving acts of devotion to God and to Creation, and as validation that we're not trash, as the other systems would claim. I use absolutely every label I've ever used [and still humbly do] with the sense of "Yes, I'm a Christian, but please don't hold that against me 'cause I really really do love God, love you, and genuinely expect Him to RADICALLY romance your soul in a Song of Solomon APOCALYPSE of the ages that are relevant to YOUR LIFE in WHATEVER form that would be relevant FOR YOU!!"

16However, if you suffer as a Christian, do not be ashamed, but praise God that you bear that name. (1Peter 4:16)

The Apostles evidently felt like if you embraced their beliefs, then it was a badge of honor to be called a Christ with an ian.

Labels are human nature. Not sure if I'd go as far as calling them a product of Babel, but basically you embrace one or a few, or you're at the mercy of absolutely everybody else's labels! And usually when you shun the labels, then the ones others stick on you aren't remotely fair and aren't even within the same ballpark of where you're coming from! In one sense, there is absolutely no growth without a label per Mark 4 'cause whatever God or man in His image calls the thing THAT'S WHAT IT IS, per the law of Genesis and Mark 11:22-24 that you'll have whatever you say. It's the law of Creation, of manifestation, of being, and of becoming.

I'd go so far as to say that only things and beings NOT in His image are those things and beings that don't obey the spiritual law of labeling 'cause they have no righteousness to label, but are merely labeled. All a label on a package at the grocery store means is what you can count on being in there. In the natural, you wouldn't buy and take into your body anything without great clarity about it's labeling. So, why do your spirit that way, and think you've really accomplished anything? Just genuinely keep on the package what's inside of the package.

Words do mean something at all times, otherwise there is no being and manifesting His image since nothing in Scripture taken beyond the veil is without a label. If it's Him, then it proceeds from His label. If it's conformity to Him, then that's clearly labeled by your understanding (i.e. labeling) of the promises. Labels are never mentioned by either St. Paul or St. John as being abolished by Him, in their

tedious lists of things that He's abolishing. In fact, He wants great plainness of speech about what He's abolishing, per it's labeling.

A "no labels" doctrine is philosophical liberalism and relativism to it's core, even if not meant that way, but only meant as an expression of the frustration one has regarding what's on the label not having been in the packages you've previously bought. In the natural, that's something you take up with your country's version of the Food and Drug Administration, as it would be called in the U.S. In the spirit, that's where some good ol' fashioned Joel chapter 2 intercessions with weeping and crying out to God for Him to spare His people and not give His heritage over to reproach comes in for the outpoured glory to be experienced!

You cannot get away from labels and have it right with Him, but the truth is the focus rather than the label, and once you've established what giving the true testimony is, as Jesus gave before Pilate, then whatever label that happens to be, then that's what's on your superhero costume. It's on your superhero costume, RATHER THAN a barrier or binding that prevents you from hugging, holding, and loving as Christ loves the Church, the world, the flesh, the devil, etc.